Application No. 09/740,804

Filing Date: 12/21/2000

Attorney Docket No.: O226

Examiner: NGUYEN, Thanh T. Art Unit: 2444

Confirmation No.: 6420

Remarks

This amendment is in response to the notice to file corrected application

papers of 11/26/10. This response corrects typographical errors that mistakenly

deleted characters from paragraphs [0054]-[0057], pages 31-32 of Applicant's

specification as originally filed. No new matter has been added. Entry of the

amendments are respectfully requested.

Paragraph [0054] now recites, "the amount of time for client 10 to receive

and process the response, i.e., the web client processing time AT_c." Figure 4

supports this amendment. Therefore, no new matter is added.

Paragraph [0055] now recites, "When a response time monitor is co-located

with a server 14, it is relatively easy to monitor the web server processing time ÄTs."

This amendment finds support from consistency with language from paragraph

[0056] and the unique subscript "s" that was already present in the sentence and

shown in figure 4. Therefore, no new matter is added.

Paragraph [0056] page 31 lines 5-8 now recite, "When remotely monitoring

delays associated with the response to a request, it is relatively easy to determine

the total delay AT as well as the total delay attributable to the combination of web

server processing time $\ddot{A}T_s$ and network transport time $\ddot{A}T_n$ ($\ddot{A}T = \ddot{A}T_s + \ddot{A}T_n$)." This

amendment is supported by figure 4, paragraph [0054], and the language of

paragraph [0056] itself. Thus, no new matter is added.

Paragraph [0056] page 32 lines 12-13 have been amended to remove extra

characters. Thus, no new matter is added.

OID-2008-394-01

5

Application No. 09/740,804 Filing Date: 12/21/2000

Attorney Docket No.: O226

Examiner: NGUYEN, Thanh T.

Art Unit: 2444

Confirmation No.: 6420

Paragraph [0056] page 32 lines 13-15 now recite, "But using these

techniques, there is no way for the remote monitor to determine which part of the

total latency is attributable to web server response time ÄT_s and which part is

attributable to network transport time ÄT_n." This amendment finds support from

consistency with the sentence in which it occurs as well as support from the rest of

paragraph [0056]. Thus, no new matter is added.

Paragraph [0056] page 32 lines 17-19 now recite, "This round-trip latency

parameter can be subtracted from the total latency to derive a measure of web

server processing time $\ddot{A}T_s = \ddot{A}T - \ddot{A}T_{n}$. This amendment finds support from

consistency with the sentence in which it occurs as well as support from the rest of

paragraph [0056]. Thus, no new matter is added.

Paragraph [0057] page 32 now recites, "e.g., the time for establishing a TCP

connection". Support for this amendment is found in at least figure 5 and the

language of paragraph [0057]. Thus, no new matter is added.

Should there be any questions relating to these amendments, the examiner

is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Dec. 7, 2010

Peter Kraguliac (Reg. No. 38,520)

(216) 503-5500

Kraguljac & Kalnay, LLC

4700 Rockside Road.

Independence, OH 44131

PK/ts

OID-2008-394-01

6